

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/705,105	11/02/2000	Daniel T. Bogard	SIG000053	4992
	7590 08/01/200 RRISON & MARKISO	EXAMINER		
P O BOX 160727			FLANDERS, ANDREW C	
AUSTIN, TX 78716			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		2615		
*			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	•		08/01/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		<u> </u>	,			
	A	pplication No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/705,105	BOGARD, DANIEL T.			
Office Action Sun	nmary E	xaminer	Art Unit			
		ndrew C. Flanders	2615			
The MAILING DATE of the Period for Reply	is communication appear	rs on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
• •	PERIOD FOR REPLY IS	S SET TO EXPIRE 3 M	MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS,			
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FRO - Extensions of time may be available under after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing da	OM THE MAILING DATE of the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a stee of this communication. The maximum statutory period will a period for reply will, by statute, cau three months after the mailing dat	E OF THIS COMMUNI i). In no event, however, may a upply and will expire SIX (6) MON use the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	,					
1) Responsive to communic	ation(s) filed on 16 May	2007.				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL .						
3) Since this application is in	ncondition for allowance	e except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with	n the practice under <i>Ex p</i>	oarte Quayle, 1935 C.E	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-46</u> is/are pend	ing in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s)	•	from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allo			•			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-46</u> is/are rejec	ted.					
7) Claim(s) is/are obj	ected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subje	ct to restriction and/or el	lection requirement.				
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is object	ed to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on	•	ed or b) objected to	by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request the						
Replacement drawing sheet	(s) including the correction	is required if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is	objected to by the Exam	niner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		,				
12) Acknowledgment is made	of a claim for foreign pri	iority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f)			
a) All b) Some * c)		, aa	3			
	the priority documents h	ave been received.				
_	the priority documents h		Application No			
3. Copies of the certif	ied copies of the priority	documents have been	received in this National Stage			
application from the	e International Bureau (F	PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the attached detailed (Office action for a list of	the certified copies not	received.			
			•			
Attachment(s)	÷					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892		4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawi		Paper No((s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application			
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	F10/5B/08)	6) Other:				

Art Unit: 2615

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 16 May 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant alleges:

In claim 1, the data processing circuitry processes "data", which the transceiving module retrieves from the modulated data. Accordingly, claim 1 includes clear antecedent basis for "data": and further makes it is clear that "the dam" is being retrieved from the modulated data and is different than "the content data". Thus, the applicant believes that #6s rejection should be withdrawn.

Examiner respectfully disagrees. The previous 112 rejection was not based on antecedent basis, rather, an indefinite claim limitation. Claim 1 claims "content data" as well as "modulated data". The claim then further claims a limitation of "the data". It is unclear which data this is as there are two types of data in the claim and "the data" is not limited to either the "modulated data" nor the "content data"

Applicant further alleges:

The Examiner has equated the earphones I I0 to the data processing circuitry, of the claimed invention; has equated the remote control device 214 to the external content display device; and has equated the content processing module to the main unit 210. As shown in figures 2-4 of the present patent application, the content processing module, the transceiving module, and the data processing circuit ry are within the content processing device, which is coupled via a channel to a content display device. As taught in the specification on page 5, lines 20-24:

The content processing device 32 may be any device that produces audio data, video data, text dam, multi-media data, and/or a combination

Art Unit: 2615

thereof for presentation to a user. The content display device 34 may be a headphone, LCD panel, plasma display, speakers, and/or any device that allows for audio data, video data, text data, multi-media data, and/or a combination thereof to be presented to a user.

From the foregoing, it is clear that equating components (e.g., the data processing circuitry) of the content processing device to an example of the content display device (e.g., earphones) is in error. Accordingly, 1-4, 6, 9, 10, 12-15, 17-19, 21, 24, 26, 27, 29, 31-33, 35, 38, 40-43, 45, and 46 overcome the present rejection.

Examiner respectfully disagrees. The claim does not narrowly claim the content processing device or data processing circuitry as disclosed in the spec. It is submitted that the interpretation given by the Examiner is reasonable.

Applicant remaining arguments are not persuasive for the same reasons stated in the previous two actions.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 claims content data and modulated data. Later in the claim there is a limitation of retrieving "the data" from the modulated data. It is unclear what this data is. Similar problems exist in various other claims that are too numerous to list. Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 2615

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2 – 4, 6, 9 10, 12, 13, 14, 15, 17, 18, 19, 21, 24, 26, 27, 29, 31, 32, 33, 35, 38, 40, 41, 42, 43, 45 and 46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yokozawa (U.S. Patent 5,420,739) in view of Allen (U.S. Patent 4,442,540).

Regarding Claims 1, 14, 19 and 28, Yokozawa discloses:

A device for processing content data (abstract), the device comprises:

data processing circuitry (headphones 110; 19) operably coupled to process data received from an external content display device (ECDD is met by 214 which is operably coupled to headphones 110)) to produce presentation information (i.e. audio playback through the headphones);

content processing module (210) operably coupled (connected to 214 and 110) to process content data (i.e. track timing information) for presentation on the external content display device (timing information shown on 221) based on the presentation

information (i.e. the track and timing depend upon which track is selected and currently playing and thus are 'based on the presentation information').

Yokozawa does not disclose a transceiving module operable coupled to the data processing circuitry and the content processing module, wherein the transceiving module separates modulated data from the content data, wherein the transceiving module retrieves the data from the modulated data, and where the transceiving module introduces the content data into a channel coupling the device to the external content display device.

The combination of Yokozawa in view of Allen discloses:

transceiving module operable coupled to the data processing circuitry and the content processing module (i.e. the device in Allen disclosed in figure 1 is attached between the player and the display/headphones and operates in both ways, sending data from the audio player to the display/headphones and sending data from the controls to the audio player in Yokozawa).

wherein the transceiving module separates the modulated data from the content data, wherein the transceiving module retrieves the data from the modulated data (i.e. at the receiver the speech and data signals are sent to an A/D converter and then through a time varying filter to separate the voice and data signals which are sent to their appropriate locations; col. 4 lines 19 – 23 in Allen; the locations in this instance being the display 221 and the headphones 110 in Yokozawa),

Art Unit: 2615

and wherein the transceiving module introduces the content data into a channel coupling the device to the external content display device (i.e. the voice signal is then sent to its appropriate location, in the combination, the headphones).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to add the system for combining audio and data for transmission over a single line as taught by Allen to the system portable audio system with a detachable control unit taught by Yokozawa. One would have been motivated to do so in order to efficiently transmit voice and data over a single cable avoiding the user of band-switching techniques thereby maximizing the audio's intelligibility; col. 2 lines 35 – 40 in Allen.

Regarding Claims 33 and 42, in addition to the elements stated above regarding independent claims 1, 14, 19 and 28, the combination of Yokozawa in view of Allen fails to disclose a processing module with a memory operably coupled to the processing module, wherein the memory includes operation instructions that cause the processing modules to carryout the features of claims 1, 14, 19 and 28.

However, Examiner takes official notice that it is notoriously well known to implement methods such as the ones disclosed in Applicant's claims 1, 14, 19 and 28 on a programmable processor. One would have been motivated to do so in order to costs when manufacturing and provide more features in a smaller package.

Art Unit: 2615

Regarding Claim 2, in addition to the elements stated above regarding claim 1, the combination of Yokozawa in view of Allen further discloses:

wherein the content data comprises at least one of: audio data, video data, text data, and multimedia data (i.e. the system is an audio player; Fig. 1 in Yokozawa).

Regarding Claim 3, in addition to the elements stated above regarding claim 1, the combination of Yokozawa in view of Allen further discloses:

wherein the data comprises at least one of digitized audio, digitized video, and incoming remote control data (i.e. the audio player is controlled by the remote control; Fig. 1 element 217 in Allen).

Regarding **Claim 4**, in addition to the elements stated above regarding claim 1, the combination of Yokozawa in view of Allen further discloses:

wherein the remote control data comprises at least one of: volume adjust data, stop data, play data, pause data, rewind data, fast forward data, next track data, channel up/down data, bass boost data, record data, intensity data, contrast data, security access data, and telephone access code data (col. 7 lines 20 – 25 in Allen).

Regarding Claims 6, 21 and 35, in addition to the elements stated above regarding claims 1, 19 and 33, the combination of Yokozawa in view of Allen further discloses:

Art Unit: 2615

wherein the transceiving module comprises:

high pass filter to separate the content data from the modulated data (i.e. the data and voice signals are separated by the filter and sent to their appropriate locations; col. 4 lines 18 – 23 in Allen);

gain module operable coupled to provide gain to the modulated data to produce gain modulated data (i.e. controls such as volume; col. 7 lines 16 – 27; in Yokozawa);

data extraction circuit operable coupled to retrieve the data form the gain modulated data (i.e. the headphones 110 and control unit 217 receive the amplified signal and display numbers and play analog audio accordingly; Fig. 1 in Yokozawa).

Regarding Claim 9, in addition to the elements stated above regarding claim 1, the combination of Yokozawa in view of Allen further discloses:

wherein the data processing circuitry further comprises:

display information module operable coupled to provide outgoing display data to the transceiving module (Fig. 1 elements 221 and 110 displays track and timing information from the portable audio device; Fig. 1 element 200).

Regarding Claims 10, 15, 24 and 38, in addition to the elements stated above regarding claims 9, 14, 19 and 33, the combination of Yokozawa in view of Allen further discloses:

wherein the transceiving module further comprises:

data modulator operably coupled to modulate the outgoing display data to produce modulated outgoing display data (i.e. modem 7 modulates the data signal; Fig. 1 in Allen); and

combining circuit operably connected to combine the content data and the modulated display data to produce transmit data that is provided to the external content display device (i.e. the data and audio is combined and output at element 14 in Fig. 1 of Allen).

Regarding Claims 12, 17, 26, 31, 40 and 45, in addition to the elements stated above regarding claims 10, 15, 24, 28, 38 and 42, the combination of Yokozawa in view of Allen further discloses:

high pass filter operably coupled to the channel, wherein the high pass filter filters the modulated display data to produce filtered data, wherein the filtered data is provided on the channel (Fig. 1 element 3 of Allen); and

high frequency isolation module operably coupled to the channel, wherein the high frequency isolation module substantially attenuates the filtered data and passes the content data substantially untenanted such that the content data is isolated from the modulated display data (Fig. 1 element 3 of Allen).

Regarding Claims 13, 18, 27, 32, 41 and 46 in addition to the elements stated above regarding claims 1, 14, 19, 28, 33 and 42 the combination further discloses:

Art Unit: 2615

an external content display device detection module operably coupled to detect capabilities of the external content display device in preparing the data (The display device (DD) displays the track timing and other track info these display is from the playback device's (PD) ability to read the track info and send it of the display, thus the DD detects the abilities of the PD).

Regarding Claims 29 and 43, in addition to the elements stated above regarding claims 28 and 42, the combination of Yokozawa in view of Allen further discloses:

wherein the combining the display data and the content data further comprises:

modulating the display data at a rate that is substantially higher than the rate of the content data to produce modulated display data (i.e. the data signal is sent in an upper portion of the channel bandwidth above that of the speech signal; col. 4 lines 3 – 7).

Claims 8, 11, 16, 23, 25, 30, 37, 39 and 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yokozawa (U.S. Patent 5,420,739) in view of Allen (U.S. Patent 4,442,540) and in further view of Barclay (U.S. Patent 6,850,55).

Regarding Claims 8, 23 and 37, in addition to the elements stated above regarding claims 6, 21 and 35, the combination of Yokozawa in view of Allen fails to disclose the limitations of the data extraction circuit claimed in claim 8.

Barclay discloses:

clock recovery circuit operably coupled to generate a clock signal from the gain modulated data (i.e. encoding may be employed in Fig. 4 to facilitate synchronization and or regeneration of a clock signal; col. 8 lines 19 – 21);

a correlator operably coupled to receive the clock signal, wherein the correlator detects patterns of the data contained within the modulated data to produce correlated data (i.e. the correlator unit outputs positive and negative peaks when there is a match; col. 5 lines 29 – 31); and

a phase comparator operably coupled to receive the correlated data and to produce therefrom the data (i.e. the peaks output from the correlator are fed to a message regeneration circuit which coverts the peaks into binary signals).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use Barclay's message regeneration method on the combination of Yokozawa in view of Allen. One would have been motivated to do so in order to efficiently send and receive modulated data from the player to the control unit.

Regarding Claims 11, 16, 25, 30, 39 and 44, in addition to the elements stated above regarding claims 6, 15, 24, 29, 38 and 43, the combination of Yokozawa in view of Allen fails to disclose the limitations of the data modulator claimed in claim 8.

Barclay discloses:

a pseudo random code generator operably coupled to produce a random code (i.e. Fig. 4 element 40); and

a modulator operably coupled to receive the random code and the outgoing display data to produce the modulated display data (i.e. the microprocessor receives the information from the message regeneration circuit and outputs it to display 49; Fig. 4).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use Barclay's message regeneration method on the combination of Yokozawa in view of Allen. One would have been motivated to do so in order to efficiently send and receive modulated data from the player to the control unit.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2615

Page 13

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew C. Flanders whose telephone number is (571) 272-7516. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sinh Tran can be reached on (571) 272-7546. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

acf

SINH TRAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINATE